

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,012	10/19/2000	David G. Boyers	101900	7407
75	90 09/22/2003			
Joseph H Smith			EXAMINER	
4410 Casa Madeira Lane San Jose, CA 95127			WINTER, GENTLE E	
San Jose, CA	93127			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746 DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•					
Office Action Summary	09/693,012	BOYERS ET AL.			
Cinco riodon Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Gentle E. Winter	1746			
Period for Reply	cars on the cover sheet what the c	· · · · ·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication, D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	uaust 2003				
<u></u>	s action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under lands Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-15,17-29,31-37 and 39-121</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-37,39-115 and 117-119 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-15,17-29,31-37,39,116, 120 and</u>	<u>/ 121</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	• •			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the prior appli</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Response to Arguments

# 1. Applicant argued:

Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner with respect to this interpretation of Nelson. In particular, it is the teaching of Nelson that the process fluid and the DI water hit the wafer simultaneously (i.e. " at the same time "). That means that both fluids have been applied to the surface at the same time, and even if there is mixing on the surface of the wafer that heats the process fluid, it will have been heated after it has been applied to the wafer, not prior to when it was applied to the surface. It is not the teaching of Nelson that the two fluids are mixed before they are applied to the surface, either.

2. This examiner has a different view; Nelson discloses, "runs that included hot deionized water mixed with ozonated deionized water as listed in table 1." Column 11, line, line 39 et seq. Applicant continued:

Further, the importance of this invention relative to the Nelson process, cannot be overemphasized. For example, the Nelson process in which a heated liquid is applied to substantially one side of a substrate for the purpose of heating the substrate and a processing fluid is applied to substantially the same side of the substrate has a number of disadvantages: the processing fluid is diluted by the heated liquid and the dissolved concentration is further reduced by that dilution; the reduction in concentration is a factor of two or more in Nelson's embodiments in which the heated liquid flow rate and the processing fluid flow rate are approximately equal.

3. The argument is not contested at this time, however since the claim neither recites concentration or in any way precludes "hot deionized water mixed with ozonated deionized water as listed in table 1." The argued advantages are no more present in the present application then they are in the prior art of record.

## Applicant continued:

With regard to the other claims pending in this application, the Examiner's rejection relied entirely on the rejection of original claim 1 under Nelson, or the rejection of claim 1 under Nelson in view of the Machino reference, or the Sehested el. al reference. Applicants believe that those rejections are no longer relevant in view of claim 1, as amended, since the combinations provided in the other claims are not shown or suggested by any combination of file cited references.

4. Applicant has pointed to numerous advantages to using an undiluted stream but does not claim the same, as such the rejection must be maintained at this time.

Art Unit: 1746

# Substitute Specification

Page 3

5. The substitute pages were not accompanied by a marked copy showing the changes introduced by the amendment(s). The entry of the substitute pages is held in abeyance pending the receipt of a marked copy showing what changes appear in the substitute pages. Applicant's request for deferment of making the indicated corrections is reasonable and, of course, is acceptable.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 12, 17, 19-20, 22-29, and 31-36 and 8-11, 13-14, 16, 18, 37-39, and 116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 6,406,551 ('551) to Nelson.
- 3. With specific respect to claim 1, drawn to a method for treating/oxidizing (oxidizing the substrate surface) a material, comprising: forming an ozone solvent solution at a first temperature; and reacting the ozone solvent solution with the material at the second temperature; wherein the first temperature is less than a second temperature, the relatively lower first

Art Unit: 1746

temperature facilitating an increased concentration of dissolved ozone in the solvent, the relatively higher second temperature facilitating an increased reaction rate between the ozone solvent solution and the material. The added claim language related to heating the solution and applying it at the second temperature is disclosed. The claim is read on by the '551 reference, as follows. The '551 reference is drawn to a method for treating a material (disclosed as a method of treating a substrate see e.g. column 16, line 9 et seq.), comprising: forming an ozone solvent solution at a first temperature (disclosed as causing a processing liquid, explicitly disclosed to be an ozone solution at column 16, line 24 et seq. naturally it is formed at a temperature; and reacting the ozone solvent solution with the material at the second temperature (disclosed as causing the processing liquid to contact a heated substrate see e.g. column 16, line 9 et seq.); wherein the first temperature is less than a second temperature, (as discussed above the solution is applied to the heated substrate) the relatively lower first temperature facilitating an increased concentration of dissolved ozone in the solvent, the relatively higher second temperature facilitating an increased reaction rate between the ozone solvent solution and the material are inherent and taught in e.g. column 15, line 36 et seq.

4. With specific respect to claims 2-5, the heated liquid is disclosed to be at a temperature of from about 30 degrees Celsius to 1 degree below boiling (in the disclosed case of water, this would correspond to 99 degrees Celsius) see e.g. column 7, line 40 et seq. The ozone solution is disclosed to be at a substantially ambient temperature. With specific respect to claims 4, 5, and 34, "substantially ambient" includes a temperature of 25 degrees Celsius and 25 degrees Celsius is between 1-30 degrees Celsius. Reference '551 discloses "chilling the processing liquid to a

Art Unit: 1746

temperature of from about 1 degree Celsius to about 20 degrees Celsius." See e.g. column 7, line 40 et seq.

- 5. With specific respect to claims 6 and 7, the heated liquid is disclosed to be at a temperature of from about 30 degrees Celsius to 1 degree below boiling (in the disclosed case of water, this would correspond to 99 degrees Celsius) see e.g. column 7, line 40 et seq. The ozone solution is disclosed to be at a substantially ambient temperature. With specific respect to claims 4, 5, and 34, "substantially ambient" includes a temperature of 25 degrees Celsius and 25 degrees Celsius is between 1-30 degrees Celsius. Reference '551 discloses "chilling the processing liquid to a temperature of from about 1 degree Celsius to about 20 degrees Celsius." See e.g. column 7, line 40 et seq.
- 6. With specific respect to claims 8, and 9. '551 discloses the step of heating the ozone solvent solution from the cooler first temperature to the warmer second temperature and applying solution to the wafer at the second temperature. Specifically, at column 8, line 23 et seq. '551 discloses that a heated liquid and the processing liquid (disclosed in the specification as an ozone solvent solution) may be caused to contact the substrate simultaneously.... The simultaneous application of the fluids necessarily would result in, at least a partial mixing, and associated heat exchange, of the fluids prior to actual delivery to the surface of the substrate. If the solutions are not applied simultaneously (see e.g. column 8, line 23 et seq. '551) than the wafer is warmed and the solution, at the time of contact is heated, resulting in a supersaturated solution, and/or the

Art Unit: 1746

1746

ozone coming out of solution. In either case the concentration is higher than it would have been if the solution were to have been formed at the higher temperature.

- 7. With specific respect to claims 10 and 11, seemingly, by heating the ozone directly at the surface of the wafer the decrease in concentration would be minimal, and certainly less than 20%. As time progressed, of course the concentration would fall, but at the time of application the concentration would be no more than 20%.
- 8. With specific respect to claim 12, nozzles for fluid delivery are disclosed throughout see e.g. element 22 of figures 1 and 2, and associated text.
- 9. With specific respect to claim 13, the step of immersion is not explicitly identified as such. However, language of '551 discloses submersion under a flowing liquid. Specifically, '551 discloses: [t]he processing liquid may be caused to contact the substrate in any manner by which the processing liquid may come into contact with the area of the substrate that is to be treated. And goes on to teach: the processing liquid is caused to flow across at least one surface of the substrate, i.e., by cascading the processing liquid onto the substrate from a processing liquid source, by causing a substantially continuous fluid stream of the heated liquid to contact the substrate. Notwithstanding the failure of the '551 reference to explicitly identify a submersion step *per se* the teaching of cascading liquid is construed to be a form of submersion. A clarification that of exactly what is meant by submersion may be helpful. Absent such a

Art Unit: 1746

clarification, the term may be accorded a meaning for the purposes of claim construction in

Page 7

making a determination of patentability.

10. With specific respect to claim 14. The '551 reference discloses the step of heating the ozone solvent solution from the cooler first temperature to the warmer second temperature and applying solution to the wafer at the second temperature. Specifically, at column 8, line 23 et seq. '551 discloses that a heated liquid and the processing liquid (disclosed in the specification as an ozone solvent solution) may be caused to contact the substrate simultaneously.... The simultaneous application of the fluids necessarily would result in, at least a partial mixing, and associated heat exchange, of the fluids prior to actual delivery to the surface of the substrate. If the solutions are not applied simultaneously (see e.g. column 8, line 23 et seq. '551) than the wafer is warmed and the solution, at the time of contact is heated, resulting in a supersaturated solution, and/or the ozone coming out of solution. In either case the concentration is higher than it would have been if the solution were to have been formed at the higher temperature.

11. With specific respect to claim 16, disclosing the ozone-solvent solution is heated during the step of applying said zone-solvent solution to said material, at e.g. column 8, line 23 et seq. '551 discloses that a heated liquid and the processing liquid (disclosed in the specification as an ozone solvent solution) may be caused to contact the substrate simultaneously.... The simultaneous application of the fluids necessarily would result the ozone-solvent solution being heated during the step of applying said zone-solvent solution to said material.

Art Unit: 1746

12. With specific respect to claim 17, the injection of a chemical is disclosed *inter alia* at

invention comprises inter alia a chemical employed in the processing liquid, including, but not

column 6, line 38 et seq. disclosing that the processing liquid utilized in the method of the '551

limited to, acids, bases, detergents, (which inherently include surfactants) etchants, oxidants,

cleaning agents, stripping agents, catalysts, enhancing agents, combinations of these, and the

like. Water is within the ambit of what is considered a chemical.

13. With specific respect to claim 18, while potentially not explicitly disclosed in '551, the

limitation of claim 18 are inherently present. Water is a chemical (i.e. ultra pure deionized

water). The mixing at the wafer (substrate) surface resulting from simultaneous application of

the solutions would be contemplated. Additionally, '551 discloses the heated liquid may be any

liquid that is capable of being heated to a temperature effective to transfer the desired level of

heat, either radiantly, convectively, conductively, or via condensation on a surface, to the

substrate(s) to be treated in accordance with the method of the present invention. Furthermore,

the temperature of the heated liquid is not restricted, but rather, the heated liquid can be heated to

any temperature at which the heated liquid remains a liquid, i.e., to any temperature below the

boiling point of the heated liquid, so that the ability to cause directed uniform heating of one or

more substrates via the application of one or more streams of heated liquid is retained.

14. With specific respect to claim 20, the injection of a chemical is disclosed inter alia at

column 6, line 38 et seq. disclosing that the processing liquid utilized in the method of the '551

invention comprises inter alia a chemical employed in the processing liquid, including, but not

Art Unit: 1746

limited to, acids, bases, detergents, (which inherently include surfactants) etchants, oxidants, cleaning agents, stripping agents, catalysts, enhancing agents, combinations of these, and the like.

- 15. With specific respect to claim 22, the injection of a chemical is disclosed *inter alia* at column 6, line 38 *et seq*. disclosing that the processing liquid utilized in the method of the '551 invention comprises *inter alia* a chemical employed in the processing liquid, including, but not limited to, acids, bases, detergents, (which inherently include surfactants) etchants, oxidants, cleaning agents, stripping agents, catalysts, enhancing agents, combinations of these, and the like.
- 16. With specific respect to claim 23, '551 discloses causing the processing liquid to contact a heated substrate see e.g. column 16, line 9 et seq.); wherein the first temperature is less than a second temperature, (as discussed above the solution is applied to the heated substrate) the relatively lower first temperature facilitating an increased concentration of dissolved ozone in the solvent, the relatively higher second temperature facilitating an increased reaction rate between the ozone solvent solution and the material are inherent and taught in e.g. column 15, line 36 et seq.
- 17. With specific respect to claims 24-29, '551 discloses applying the zone solution to the substrate and rotating same about a central axis see e.g. column 18, line 20 *et seq*. Also see e.g. column 15 and associated tables. The rinsing step is disclosed throughout see e.g. column 11,

Art Unit: 1746

line 41 et seq. Semiconductor wafers are disclosed throughout '551 as a substrate, see e.g. column 3, line 5 et seq. More specifically with particular respect to claim 27, it is well settled in the cleaning arts that the cleaning solution is rinsed off. To explicitly claim such a step, implicitly suggests that the step is not necessarily present in the independent claim. While it appears unambiguously clear that a rinsing step is disclosed, if applicant argues that the step is not identically disclosed, than it is inherently present, see e.g. Machino et al. (discussed below) at e.g. column 26, line 47.

18. With specific respect to claim 31, drawn to a method for treating/oxidizing (oxidizing the substrate surface) a material, comprising: forming an ozone solvent solution at a first temperature; and reacting the ozone solvent solution with the material at the second temperature; wherein the first temperature is less than a second temperature, the relatively lower first temperature facilitating an increased concentration of dissolved ozone in the solvent, the relatively higher second temperature facilitating an increased reaction rate between the ozone solvent solution and the material. Again, the amendment reciting that the heated solution is used is at the heart of the '551 reference. The claim is read on by the '551 reference, as follows. The '551 reference is drawn to a method for treating a material (disclosed as a method of treating a substrate see e.g. column 16, line 9 et seq.), comprising: forming an ozone solvent solution at a first temperature (disclosed as causing a processing liquid, explicitly disclosed to be an ozone solution at column 16, line 24 et seq. naturally it is formed at a temperature; and reacting the ozone solvent solution with the material at the second temperature (disclosed as causing the processing liquid to contact a heated substrate see e.g. column 16, line 9 et seq.); wherein the

Art Unit: 1746

Page 11

first temperature is less than a second temperature, (as discussed above the solution is applied to the heated substrate) the relatively lower first temperature facilitating an increased concentration of dissolved ozone in the solvent, the relatively higher second temperature facilitating an increased reaction rate between the ozone solvent solution and the material are inherent and taught in e.g. column 15, line 36 et seq.

- 19. With specific respect to claim 32, '551 discloses applying the zone solution to the substrate and rotating same about a central axis see e.g. column 18, line 20 et seq. Also see e.g. column 15 and associated tables. The rinsing step is disclosed throughout see e.g. column 11, line 41 et seq. Semiconductor wafers are disclosed throughout '551 as a substrate, see e.g. column 3, line 5 et seq. It is well settled in the cleaning arts that the cleaning solution is rinsed off. To explicitly claim such a step, implicitly suggests that the step is not necessarily present in the independent claim. While it appears unambiguously clear that a rinsing step is disclosed, if applicant argues that the step is not identically disclosed, than it is inherently present, see e.g. Machino et al. (discussed below) at e.g. column 26, line 47.
- 20. With specific respect to claim 33 the heated liquid is disclosed to be at a temperature of from about 30 degrees Celsius to 1 degree below boiling (in the disclosed case of water, this would correspond to 99 degrees Celsius) see e.g. column 7, line 40 et seq. The ozone solution is disclosed to be at a substantially ambient temperature. With specific respect to claims 4, 5, and 34, "substantially ambient" includes a temperature of 25 degrees Celsius and 25 degrees Celsius is between 1-30 degrees Celsius. Reference '551 discloses "chilling the processing liquid to a

Art Unit: 1746

temperature of from about 1 degree Celsius to about 20 degrees Celsius." See e.g. column 7, line 40 et seq.

- With specific respect to claim 35, the heated liquid is disclosed to be at a temperature of from about 30 degrees Celsius to 1 degree below boiling (in the disclosed case of water, this would correspond to 99 degrees Celsius) see e.g. column 7, line 40 et seq. The ozone solution is disclosed to be at a substantially ambient temperature. With specific respect to claims 4, 5, and 34, "substantially ambient" includes a temperature of 25 degrees Celsius and 25 degrees Celsius is between 1-30 degrees Celsius. Reference '551 discloses "chilling the processing liquid to a temperature of from about 1 degree Celsius to about 20 degrees Celsius." See e.g. column 7, line 40 et seq.
- 22. With specific respect to claim 36, drawn to a method for treating/oxidizing (oxidizing the substrate surface) a material, comprising: forming an ozone solvent solution at a first temperature; and reacting the ozone solvent solution with the material at the second temperature; wherein the first temperature is less than a second temperature, the relatively lower first temperature facilitating an increased concentration of dissolved ozone in the solvent, the relatively higher second temperature facilitating an increased reaction rate between the ozone solvent solution and the material. The claim is read on by the '551 reference, as follows. The '551 reference is drawn to a method for treating a material (disclosed as a method of treating a substrate see e.g. column 16, line 9 et seq.), comprising: forming an ozone solvent solution at a first temperature (disclosed as causing a processing liquid, explicitly disclosed to be an ozone

Art Unit: 1746

solution at column 16, line 24 et seq. naturally it is formed at a temperature; and reacting the ozone solvent solution with the material at the second temperature (disclosed as causing the processing liquid to contact a heated substrate see e.g. column 16, line 9 et seq.); wherein the first temperature is less than a second temperature, (as discussed above the solution is applied to the heated substrate) the relatively lower first temperature facilitating an increased concentration of dissolved ozone in the solvent, the relatively higher second temperature facilitating an increased reaction rate between the ozone solvent solution and the material are inherent and taught in e.g. column 15, line 36 et seq.

Page 13

23. With specific respect to claim 37, '551 discloses the step of heating the ozone solvent solution from the cooler first temperature to the warmer second temperature and applying solution to the wafer at the second temperature. Specifically, at column 8, line 23 et seq. '551 discloses that a heated liquid and the processing liquid (disclosed in the specification as an ozone solvent solution) may be caused to contact the substrate simultaneously.... The simultaneous application of the fluids necessarily would result in, at least a partial mixing, and associated heat exchange, of the fluids prior to actual delivery to the surface of the substrate. If the solutions are not applied simultaneously (see e.g. column 8, line 23 et seq. '551) than the wafer is warmed and the solution, at the time of contact is heated, resulting in a supersaturated solution, and/or the ozone coming out of solution. In either case the concentration is higher than it would have been if the solution were to have been formed at the higher temperature.

Art Unit: 1746

With specific respect to claim 38, disclosing the ozone-solvent solution is heated during the step of applying said zone-solvent solution to said material, at e.g. column 8, line 23 et seq. '551 discloses that a heated liquid and the processing liquid (disclosed in the specification as an ozone solvent solution) may be caused to contact the substrate simultaneously.... The simultaneous application of the fluids necessarily would result the ozone-solvent solution being heated during the step of applying said zone-solvent solution to said material.

- 25. With specific respect to claim 39, the injection of a chemical is disclosed *inter alia* at column 6, line 38 *et seq*. disclosing that the processing liquid utilized in the method of the '551 invention comprises *inter alia* a chemical employed in the processing liquid, including, but not limited to, acids, bases, detergents, (which inherently include surfactants) etchants, oxidants, cleaning agents, stripping agents, catalysts, enhancing agents, combinations of these, and the like. Water is within the ambit of what is considered a chemical.
- With specific respect to claim 116, '551 does not appear to explicitly identically disclose the step of moving the nozzles relative to the substrate. However '551 does disclose the step of changing the angle with which the deionized water impinges on the substrate, see e.g. column 5, line 63 column 6, line 1 *et seq*. The change in angle is construed to be movement relative to the substrate.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,012 Page 15

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 120 and 121 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over '551, in view of United States Patent No. 5,716,458 to Machino. Each and every element of claim 15 is identically disclosed in '551, as discussed above, except '551 fails to explicitly disclose that a heat exchanger or in-line heater may be used to provide the requisite heat. Although seemingly the heated water stream is heated with some heat exchanger. Such heating elements are disclosed in Machino. Machino discloses:

[t]he heater 11 may comprise any of that type of heaters which directly heat the mixture 1 using electricity or other heat sources, or may comprise any of that type of heaters which indirectly heat the mixture 1 using, for example, a heat exchanger which provides heat exchange between the directly heated heat transfer medium and the mixture 1. (Column 6, line 13 et seq.)

28. The artisan would have been motivated to make the instant combination because such a combination obviates the need for transport heated liquid from a distant heater, and also minimizes thermal variation and waste during start-up. (Column 6, line 13 et seq.), as discussed above the heated plate will heat the liquid as it is applied to the wafer.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,012 Page 16

Art Unit: 1746

- 29. As to claims 120 and 121, disclosing the use of an orifice and a liquid to liquid heat exchanger and an in-line heat exchanger, the use of an orifice is disclosed in figure 2 element 24 and relevant associated text, element 24, as to the type of heat exchanger it is noted that apparatus limitations, unless they affect the process in a manipulative sense, may have little weight in process claims. *In re Tarczy-Hornoch* 158 USPQ 141, 150 (CCPA 1968); *In re Edwards* 128 USPQ 387 (CCPA 1961); *Stalego v. HeymesI 120 USPQ 473, 478* (CCPA 1959); *Ex parte Hart* 117 USPQ 193 (PO BdPatApp 1957); *In re Freeman* 44 USPQ 116 (CCPA 1940); *In re Sweeney* 72 USPQ 501 CCPA 1947). It is not clear how the specific heat exchanger impacts the heating. Absent such a teaching the claim limitations are disclosed according to the method.
- 30. Claim 19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over the '551 in view of reference *Decomposition of Ozone in Aqueous Acetic Acid Solutions* by Sehested et al. Each and every limitation of claims 19 and 21 is identically disclosed by '551 as set forth above, except '551 may not explicitly disclose that the injected chemical comprise a hydroxyl radical scavenger and an acid. Sehested et al. disclose that "acetic acid is a well known stabilizer of aqueous ozone solutions, and that acetic acid is known to scavenge the OH radical, which is the chain propagating radical in ozone decomposition." Because it is desirable to avoid ozone decomposition (at least in solution) the artisan would have been motivated to make the instant combination.

Art Unit: 1746

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gentle E. Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (703) 308-4333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gentle E. Winter Examiner Art Unit 1746

September 16, 2003

RANDY GULAKOWSKI

SUPER ATENT EXAMINER